A Smoke Free Campus: Lessons Learned from AUB

Summary
Following the adoption of a No Smoking Policy (NSP) by the American University of Beirut (AUB), the vast majority (90%) of undergraduate and graduate students surveyed, and over a third (36%) of them who smoked were satisfied with the new policy; with a full compliance (respecting the designated smoking areas) rate of 73% among the smokers surveyed. In addition, 1 in 6 smokers reported receiving a warning ticket when smoking in non-designated areas. And, 20% of regular smokers reported a reduction in their smoking as a result of the ban. These results show that a NSP on university campuses should be endorsed.

Background
Although a no smoking policy, which banned smoking from all university buildings (except for private residences), had been in place at AUB since the year 2000, it wasn’t until June of 2008 that AUB President Waterbury put into effect a full campus-wide ban. The President endorsed the comprehensive plan put forward by the Tobacco Free Campus Initiative, established in 2007 by the AUB Wellness Committee.

Policy Recommendations
For a university campus-wide No Smoking Policy (NSP) to succeed:

1. Top-level re-enforcement and support from University Faculty and Administration is critical to facilitating proper implementation and compliance.
2. Violators from the enforcing body are also subject to disciplinary action to give credibility to the NSP.
3. Designated smoking areas should have well-defined boundaries to ensure compliance.
4. Smoking cessation should be offered through its university health care delivery services and widely advertised.

AUB’s 2008 No Smoking Policy (NSP)

1. AUB prohibits smoking “anywhere” on the university campus, except for private faculty residences and designated smoking areas. Moreover, smoking is not permitted in university vehicles. AUB and AUBMC faculty, staff, students, patients and visitors are required to abide by the policy. The designated smoking areas extend over a radius of 5 meters from the “Smoking Area” signs.
2. Tobacco promotion, sales, distribution and advertisements of tobacco products are strictly forbidden on campus; including a ban on any financial contribution from tobacco companies.

“The Students and faculty would complain: Why every morning do I have to go through a cloud of smoke to get into my classroom. What about my rights?”

(top management – non-smoker)
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Here [in Lebanon], people don’t even think about this issue. For example banning smoking in public places, is not existent. You would see people smoking in the corridors of hospitals. Or you would take a cab and the person next to you would light a cigarette. This is not acceptable. So, on the national level, laws are not enforced (top management – smoker)

Obstacles faced in implementation of the NSP

1. Designating Smoking Areas:
   a. Smokers complained about being forced to smoke outdoors in winter under the rain and under the summer heat.
   b. Smokers did not know how far away from the smoking benches they could stand and smoke; so they kept on expanding the boundaries.
   c. Some smoking areas were in close proximity to populated buildings causing concentrated smoke to travel through windows, reaching offices and classrooms.

2. Lack of comprehensive non smoking policy in Lebanon: Among the main barriers to implementation was the lack of a comprehensive Lebanese policy banning smoking in public places and poor policy enforcement. This attitude created a challenging environment for a NSP to be adopted at AUB.

3. Enforcement: The enforcing body, AUB’s Protection Office, had two main obstacles:
   a. Approaching staff and faculty to extinguish their cigarettes was problematic, and socially awkward.
   b. Reporting smoking offenses was perceived to be more difficult by protection officers who were smokers themselves. So non-smokers in the Protection Office were assigned to enforce the NSP, which gave the policy more credibility, and since violators from the Protection Office were also subject to disciplinary action, it thus increased trust in the Protection Office as a responsible authority to enforce the NSP.

4. Awareness of cessations services: Although cessation services were offered free of charge, students, faculty and staff were not aware of them and so did not make use of those services. This was seen as an obstacle to NSP compliance.

As a case-study, AUB’s NSP has shown that it is feasible. Promoting AUB’s successful NSP will lead to other universities achieving successful NSP at the local and regional level.