NASOTRACHEAL INTUBATION WITH PARKER FLEX-TIP VERSUS PREFORMED NASAL ENDOTRACHEAL TUBES FOR CHILDREN UNDERGOING ADENOTONSILLECTOMY

Moustafa Abdelaziz Moustafa¹ and Yasser Mohamed Ossman²

Abstract: The design of the endotracheal tube might be an important factor in the incidence of injurious complications during nasotracheal intubation.

Aim of the work: Primary aim: to compare the parker flex tip (PFT) and the preformed nasal (PNT) tubes regarding the ease of insertion during nasotracheal intubation in children undergoing adenotonsillectomy. Secondary aim: to verify the incidence of traumatic complications of both types of tubes during nasotracheal intubation in children undergoing adenotonsillectomy.

Patients and methods: 100 patients aged between 4 and 10 years ASA physical status I-II scheduled for adenotonsillectomy were divided into two groups; Group PFT: Patients were nasally intubated using the parker flex-tip endotracheal tube, Group PNT: Patients were nasally intubated using the preformed nasal tube. Ease of insertion of the ETT, degree of trauma and the time of intubation was measured.

Results: ETT was easily inserted without any resistance in 24% of patients of the PFT group versus 12% of patients in the PNT group. ETT could not be passed through the right or left nostrils in 20% of patients of the PNT group relative to only 4% of patients of the PFT group. Incidence of trauma to the nasal mucosa was significantly higher in patients of the PNT group than patients of the PFT group. Duration of intubation was statistically significantly longer among patients of the PNT group than patients of the PFT group.

Conclusions: It seems that the flexible tapered tip of the PFT tube has led to easier insertion through the nasal passages as well as less trauma to the nasal mucosa in children having nasopharyngeal pathology in the form of adenoids. At the same time, the duration of intubation was less in the PFT group relative to the control group in spite of the more familiarity of the investigator with the standard portex tube.

¹ M.D, Alexandria Faculty of Medicine, Anesthesia and Surgical Intensive Care, Alexandria, Egypt. E-mail: m.3abdelaziz@ hotmail.com

² M.D, Alexandria Faculty of Medicine Anesthesia and Surgical Intensive Care, Alexandria, Egypt. E-mail: yasseralx@ hotmail.com

Corresponding Author: Moustafa Abdelaziz Moustafa, M.D, Alexandria University Hospitals, Egypt, Alexandria, smouha, Alnasr street, Alboroug buildings, Building 5, Phone: +201222373407, Fax: 2035459881. E-mail: m.3abdelaziz@hotmail. com

This report describes human research. IRB contact information: Ethics Committee of the Alexandria Main University Hospitals, 16/6/2011, IRB NO: 00007555-FWA NO:00015712. This study was conducted with written informed consent from the study subjects.

Introduction

Adenotonsillectomy is a very common surgical procedure done all over the world. In spite of the relative easiness of the procedure, it does have its risk and challenges to both the surgeon and the anesthesiologist¹. The main anesthetic consideration is to provide a good surgical field in spite of the shared airway by the surgeon and the anesthesiologist². The oral route is the usual way of placement of the endotracheal tube (ETT). The oral ETT has the advantage of avoiding any trauma to the nasopharyngeal area especially with the enlarged adenoids³. Unfortunately, the oral ETT may hinder the surgical access as well as being liable to misplacement during the surgical maneuver. Hence, the nasal route is advantageous avoiding such complications. However, the adenoids may be an obstacle to the passage of the ETT through the nose and several studies have been conducted for avoiding traumatic injury to the adenoids by the passage of the nasotracheal tube⁴. The design of the ETT might be an important etiologic factor in such complications. Recently, Parker Medical (Bridgewater, Conn) introduced the Parker Flex-Tip (PFT) tube. It has a unique design with a flexible, curved, centered, tapered distal tip that may help avoid injury to the nasopharyngeal structures during its passage to the vocal cords5.

The primary aim of this study was to compare the Parker Flex-Tip and the preformed nasal (PNT) tubes regarding the ease of insertion during nasotracheal intubation in children undergoing adenotonsillectomy. A secondary aim was to verify the incidence of traumatic complications of both types of tubes during nasotracheal intubation in children undergoing adenotonsillectomy.

Methods

The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Alexandria Main University Hospitals. A written informed consent was obtained from the parents for participation of their child in the study.

This prospective, randomized, study was carried out at the university hospital day case surgery unit. One hundred patients aged between 4 and 10 years ASA physical status I-II who were scheduled for adenotonsillectomy, were considered for the study. Exclusion criteria included contraindications to nasotracheal intubation such as coagulopathy, known or suspected CSF leak and anticipated difficult intubation in the form of known congenital airway anomalies.

Complete history was obtained from the parents. All patients were subjected to thorough examination and routine laboratory investigations. Patients were randomly assigned for nasal intubation using the Parker Flex-Tip endotracheal tube (Group PFT) or the performed nasal endotracheal tube (Group PNT) using a computer-generated program.

All patients were premedicated 30 minutes before the procedure by midazolam nasal drops 0.1 mg.kg⁻¹ and xylometazoline nasal drops (one drop each nostril). After admission to the operative theatre, all patients were monitored by continuous electrocardiography, heart rate, pulse oximetry, non-invasive arterial blood pressure, end-tidal capnography and transrectal temperature probe. An intravenous line was inserted after induction with 8% sevoflurane in 100% oxygen for 90 seconds using an appropriate sized facemask via a primed pediatric circle system. Fentanyl 1µg.kg⁻¹ and atracurium were given for facilitation of intubation. The proper sized ETT was chosen for each group and its tip was lubricated by a lubricating gel.

After full muscle relaxation, gentle insertion of the tube was tried in the right nostril first till it entered the oropharynx. By using the suitable Magil forceps and suitable sized laryngoscope, advancement of the ETT was carried out under direct vision until it entered the larynx to its final position. If the tube did not pass in the right nostril, the left one was tried. Anesthesia was maintained till the end of the surgery.

The ease of insertion of the tube through the nose was scored as

A-Ease of insertion of the tube through the nose:

1: no resistance is felt when advancing the tube till it passes to the oropharynx. 2: some resistance is felt when advancing the tube till it passes to the

	Group PFT (n = 50)	Group PNT (n = 50)	P-value
Age (years)	5 ± 2.03 $5 \pm 2.0.$	4.5 ± 2.4	0.465
Male/Female	25/25	26/24	0.611
Weight (kg)	19 ± 6.9	18 ± 5.98 $12\text{-}29$	0.456

 Table 1

 Demographic data. Data is presented as mean \pm standard deviation or as a number

Table 2						
Scoring of the ease of insertion of the endotracheal tube through the nose						

Grades	Group PFT (n = 50)		Group PNT (n = 50)		p-value
	Number	%	Number	%	
1:No resistance	12	24	6	12	0.026
2:Some resistance	21	42	16	32	0.09
3:didn't pass through right nostril	15	30	18	36	0.22
4:didn't pass through right or left nostril	2	4	10	20	0.013

Table 3Trauma of the endotracheal tube to the nasal mucosa

Grading	Group PFT (n = 50)		Group PNT (n = 50)		Р
	Number	%	Number	%	
1-No blood on the tube	15	30	8	16	0.039
2-Traces of blood on the tube	16	32	14	28	0.112
3-Some blood in the oropharynx	15	30	22	44	0.041
4- Some fleshy parts in the tube	4	8	6	12	0.266

oropharynx.3: tube can't pass through the right nostril in spite of some force used., and 4: tube can't pass through the right or the left nostril and the tube will be put orally.

Also the degree of trauma, if any, by the passage of the ETT through the nose was assessed by the presence/amount of blood noticed in the oropharynx by laryngoscopy and was scored as 1: No blood is noted on the tube or oropharynx; 2: Trace of blood is noted on the tube; 3: Some blood is seen trickling in the oropharynx; and 4: Some fleshy parts is seen in the tube.

This was done through inspection of the tip of the ETT and the oropharynx during laryngoscopy after its passage through the nose and nasopharynx.

Time of intubation which was defined as the time interval between passage of the ETT through the right nostril till confirmation of its position by the capnogram, was determined in both groups.

Sample size calculation: It was done using Med Calc statistical software. A minimum sample size of 100 patients divided into two equal groups was determined to achieve a 20% difference in the ease of insertion of the endotracheal tube with a standard type I and type II error (α 0.05, β 0.2). The power of the study was 90.0%.

Data Analysis: Data were analyzed by using SPSS software (Statistical package for social science for personal computers) using Student t and chi-square testes, data were expressed as mean \pm SD, and P \leq 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups in regards to demographic data (Table 1) No resistance to insertion of the tube was higher in the PFT group as compared to the PNT group (Table 2). Also, the failure to pass the tube through the right or left nostril was higher in the Group PNT as compared to the Group PFT (Table 2).. On inspection of the ETT after insertion during laryngoscopy, no blood noticed on the ETT was statistically significantly higher in the PFT group (30%) compared to the PNT group (16%). Also, presence of blood in the oropharynx was significantly higher in the PNT group (44%) compared to the PFT Group (30%) (Table 3). Duration of intubation was significantly longer in patients of the PNT group (42 ± 7.11 sec) versus patients of the PFT group (34 ± 6.01 sec).

Discussion

The findings of the current study suggest that the flexible tapered tip of the PFT tube can result in easier insertion through the nasal passages as well as in less trauma to the nasal mucosa in children having nasopharyngeal pathology in the form of adenoids. Also, the duration of intubation can be decreased in the PFT group compared to the PNT group despite of the more familiarity of the investigators with the standard PNT tubes.

Several previous studies have investigated the different factors that may contribute to trauma to the nasal mucosa during tracheal intubation, of which the tracheal tube tip design was a prominent factor. Several authors described in previous studies the design of the PFT tube and how such design could improve the nasal intubating conditions. Yet, most of these studies have been done in patients with healthy nasal passages where patients with nasal pathologies were excluded from such studies. In their study comparing the PFT tube with the standard tube for nasotracheal intubation in oral surgery in adults using the fiberscope, Prior et al⁵ demonstrated that the PFT tubes glide over the nasal mucosa and tracheal rings rather than wedges and bruises against the involved mucosa leading to less trauma, less bleeding and less postoperative complications like sore throat. Higueras et al6 failed to pass a standard ETT over a nasally inserted fiberscope in a case of difficult intubation and replaced it in a second attempt with a PFT tube that passed easily over the fiberscope and suggested that the PFT tube may be helpful in awake fiberoptic nasotracheal intubation. Sanuki et al7 hypothesized in their manikin study of airway scope assisted nasotracheal intubation that the PFT tube may be superior over the standard ETT as its tip is less liable to impinge around the vocal cords during passage over the airway scope. Sugiyama et al⁸ examined the effect of bevel orientation and bending of the tube tip

by a stylet on the easiness of nasal tube passage and the incidence of epistaxis. They demonstrated that the styleted tip with the bevel facing posteriorly of the PFT tube improved the circumstances of intubation together with decreasing the degree of epistaxis relative to the standard portex ETT. On the other hand, Turkstra et al⁹ found that there was no difference between the standard Mallinckrodt endotracheal tube and the PFT tube regarding postoperative sore throat after nasal intubation. However, the sizes of the endotracheal tubes used ranged from 7 to 8.5 which are considered large relative to the nasal passages in addition to the use of a stylet in a higher percentage in the PFT group. In addition to the nasal route, Radesic et al¹⁰ compared the PFT tube with the standard ETT during oral intubation in adults using the glidescope. They reported that the posteriorly facing bevel together with the flexible tip of the PFT allowed better visualization during video laryngoscopy, reduced the time needed for endotracheal intubation and decreased the number of redirections needed before insertion of the ETT. Similar results were also obtained by Kristensen et al¹¹ and So et al¹² during orotracheal intubation using the fiberoptic and conventional laryngoscopy respectively. They also attributed these results to the gentle sliding movement of the PFT tube over the anatomical structures of the airway.

Preference of the nasal route of intubation in cases of adenotonsillectomy in our institution has led to more efforts and studies to improve the outcome in such cases regarding trauma to the upper airway, and to our knowledge, the present study may be the first to examine the PFT tube in children with unhealthy nasopharyngeal anatomy. However, the present study has certain limitations. Blindness could not be achieved since the type of the tube could not be hidden from the investigator. Also, some of the postoperative complications expected from the procedure of intubation such as sore throat or change in the tone of voice could not be assessed since adenotonsillectomy surgery itself may lead to the same postoperative complications.

Conflicts of interest: None declared by the authors

References

- RAEDER J: Ambulatory anaesthesia aspects for tonsillectomy and abrasion in children. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol*; 2011, 24(6):620-626.
- BROWN KA: Outcome, risk, and error and the child with obstructive sleep apnea. *Paediatr Anaesth*; 2011, 21(7):771-80.
- HATCHER IS, STACK CG: Postal survey of the anaesthetic techniques used for paediatric tonsillectomy surgery. *Paediatr Anaesth*; 1999, 9:311-5.
- BAKER PA, FERNANDEZ TM, HAMAEKERS AE, THOMPSON JM: Parker Flex-Tip or standard tracheal tube for percutaneous emergency airway access? *Acta anaesthesiol Scand*; 2013, 57(2):165-70.
- PRIOR S, HEATON J, JATANA KR, RASHID RG: Parker flex-tip and standard-tip endotracheal tubes: a comparison during nasotracheal intubation. *Anesth Prog*; 2010, 57:18-24.
- HIGUERAS J, ONRUBIA X, SANCHEZ DE MERAS A, ESTRUCH M, BARBERA M: Parker Flex-Tip tube for fibreoptic nasotracheal intubation in a case of lingual tonsil hypertrophy. *Canadian journal of anaesthesia/ Journal canadien d'anesthesie*; 2005, 52(7):778-9.
- 7. SANUKI, TAKURO, ET AL: "Comparison of Parker-tipped and Murphytipped tracheal tubes in Airway Scope-assisted intubation in a

manikin". Journal of anesthesia; 2009, 23:530-3.

- SUGIYAMA K, MANABE Y, KOHJITANI A: A styletted tracheal tube with a posterior facing bevel reduces epistaxis during nasal intubation: a randomized trial. *Can J Anesth*; 2014, 61:417-22.
- 9. TURKSTRA, TIMOTHY P, ET AL: "The Flex-Tip™ tracheal tube does not reduce the incidence of postoperative sore throat: a randomized controlled trial". *Canadian Journal of Anesthesia/Journal canadien d'anesthésie*; 2011, 12:1090-6.
- 10. RADESIC BP, WINKELMAN C, EINSPORN R, KLESS J: Ease of intubation with the Parker Flex-Tip or a standard Mallinckrodt endotracheal tube using a video laryngoscope (GlideScope). *AANA J*; 2012, 5:363-72.
- KRISTENSEN MS: The Parker Flex-Tip tube versus a standard tube for fiberoptic orotracheal intubation: a randomized double-blind study. *Anesthesiology*; 2003, 98(2):354-358.
- SO M, SOBUE K, ARIMA H, ET AL: Flexible, tapered-tip facilitates conventional orotracheal intubation by novice intubators. *J Anesth*; 2006, 20(4):344-347.



BRIDION—for optimal neuromuscular blockade management and improved recovery

Predictable and complete reversa

- 98% of BRIDION patients recovered to a TOF" ratio of 0.9 from reappearance of T,⁺ within 5 minutes²
- 97% of BRIDION patients recovered to a TOF' ratio of 0.9 from 1 to 2 PTCs⁺ within 5 minutes³

Rapid reversal

- BRIDION rapidly reversed patients from reappearance of T₁⁺ in 1.4 minutes²
- BRIDION rapidly reversed patients from 1 to 2 PTCs⁺ in 2.7 minutes³

BRIDION is indicated for the reversal of neuromuscular blockade induced by rocuronium or vecuronium. In children and adolescents (aged 2-17 years), BRIDION is only recommended for routine reversal of moderate rocuronium-induced neuromuscular blockade¹

Important safety information

BRIDION is not recommended in patients with severe renal impairment. Studies in patients with hepatic impairment have not been conducted and, therefore, patients with severe hepatic impairment should be treated with great caution. Caution should be exercised when administering BRIDION to pregnant women as no clinical data on exposed pregnancies are available. BRIDION has not been investigated in patients receiving recuronium in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) setting.

If neuromuscular blockade is required within 24 hours of BRIDION administration, a nonsteroidal neuromuscular blocking agent should be used instead of rocuronium or vecuronium. The most commonly reported adverse reactions were dysgeusia (metal or bitter taste) and anesthetic complications (movement, coughing, grimating, or suckling on the endotracheal tube). In patients treated with SRIDION, a few cases of awareness were reported. The relation to BRIDION was uncertain, in a few individuals, allergic-like reactions (e.g. flushing, argimating, or suckling on the endotracheal tube). In patients treated with SRIDION, a few cases of awareness were reported. The relation to BRIDION was uncertain, in a few individuals, allergic-like reactions (e.g. flushing, argimating, or suckling on the endotracheal tube). In patients should be prepared for the possibility of allergic reactions and take the necessary precutions. In a trial of patients with a history of pulmonary complications, bronchospain was reported in 2 patients and a causal relationship could not be fully excluded. Volunteer studies have demonstrated a slight (17%-22%) and transient [<30 minutes) prolongation of the prothrombin time/activated partial theomoplastin time (PL/aPTT) with BRIDION; however, clinical studies have demonstrated no clinically relevant effect on peri- or postoperative bleeding complications with BRIDION alone or in combination with anticoagulants, caution should be exercised in patients on a pre-existing or comorbid condition. This pharmacodynamic interaction is not clinically relevant for patients seceiving routine postoperative prophylactic anticoagulation, Athoogh formal interaction studies have not been conducted, no drug interactions were observed in clinical trials. Precinical data suggest that clinically significant drug interactions are unlikely with the possible exceptions of thermefine, fusific add, and hormonal contraceptives.

Train-of-four

* Post-becanic cour * Second twitch

REFERENCES: 1. BRIDION Summary of Product Characteristics (SPC) 2. Biobmer M, Enksson LJ, Scholz J, Motsch J, Della Rocca G, Prins ME, Reversal of rocuronium-induced neuromuscular blockade with sugammadex compared with neostigmane during sevoflurane anaenthesis: results of a randomised, controlled trial. [published online ahead of print July 30, 2010]. Eur J Anaesthesiol. doi:10.1097/EJA 0b013e32833d56b7. 3. Jones RK, Caldwell JE, Brull SJ, Soto RG. Reversal of profound rocuronium-induced blockade with sugammadex a tandomized comparison with neostigmine. Anesthesiology. 2008;109(5):816-824.

Please see summary of product characteristics for full prescribing information.



Copyright © 2010 Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., Whitehouse Station, NJ, USA: All rights reserved; 05-2013-BRID-2011-LEVANT-1196-J

PAJUNK[®]

Pioneering Medical Tehnology



TAP Block And **InfiltraLong** For Effective Treatment Of Long And Deep Incisions

Sono Cannulas For Single Shot UltraSound Guided Nerve Blocks

SonoSystem And SonoLong Curl For UltraSound Guided Nerve Blocks

Sprotte 2.G The New Generation Dura Punctre In Minimum Time

SonoEye Ophtalmic Block

For Peribulbar And Retrobulbar Blocks Under Ultrasonic Monitoring

www.mediline-lb.com Tel:+961 1 697500





Teleflex

LMA[®] Better by Design

Answer.

Question.

Your patient requires urgent pain medication. How can you administer this less invasively?



LMA MAD Nasal[™] Needle free intranasal drug delivery device

k.

Atomization spray

The spray atomase drugs into a fine mist of particles 30-100 microns in size.*

Maileable stylet

The maileable stylet allows 190° positioning of the natal plug.

Accurate dosing

The syringe enables the accurate measurement of drugs to be delivered

Pressure

High applied pressure ensures that drugs are atomized into a fine mist of particles through the tip of the plug. Soft conical plug

The plug forms a seal with the nostril preventing exputsion of fluid.

0

Spray geometry

Spray cone with a wide 62.75° average spray angle and a 35.9mm average plane width.⁹

References: 1. Talon M. et al., J Burn Care Research 2009; 30: 599-605. 2. MAD (Mucosal Atomization Device) Medical Atomizer in Vitro Spray Characterization, 2011

2%

7 3n



It's like flying business class and paying coach fare.

In an uncertain world where perioperative care is increasingly complex, uptime is critical. GE's anesthesia portfolio is known for dependable quality and a commitment to reliable performance that helps reduce operational costs long after the point of purchase. Today, GE Healthcare is changing the game and redefining affordable performance for the masses. No compromises. No boundaries.

Carestation 600 Series...It's all within reach.

Exclusive Dealer



gehealthcare.com

© 2015 General Electric Company - All rights reserved. GE and GE Monogram are trademarks of General Electric Company. JB28064XX Vanlian Center, Fuad Chehab Ave. Sin El Fil, Beirut, Lebanon P.O.Box: 166804 T:+961 (0)1 512 820 +961 (0)1 512 821 M:+961 70 101 740 F:+961 (0)1 512 822