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Abstract: Objectives: To report on the burden of osteoporotic fractures in the Eastern Mediterranean Region (EMR) and the use of bone mineral density (BMD) DXA databases for osteoporosis diagnosis.
Methods: PubMed electronic database was reviewed using the following MeSH terms: "Hip fractures", "Fractures, Compression", "Radius Fractures", "Osteoporosis", "Bone density" and "Middle East" up to July 2009.
Results: Incidence of hip fractures varied across the EMR between 100 to 295 per 100,000 person-years in women and 71 to 200 per 100,000 person-years in men. No data was found on other non-vertebral osteoporotic fractures. Prevalence of radiographic vertebral fractures above age 65 ranged between 15% to 25% in women and 7.3% to 18% in men. By 2020, the number of hip fractures above age 50 would increase by 20%. DXA manufacturer's reference curves for the spine were higher than population specific ones. At the hip, NHANES and population-based curves were comparable. Estimates of relative risk of vertebral fracture per SD decrease in BMD using NHANES and local dataset were similar, 1.61 [1.17-2.23] and 1.49 [1.14-1.95] respectively.
Conclusions: The EMR is similar to southern Europe regarding incidence rates of hip fracture, suggesting the health burden to be as significant. Using DXA at the hip, population specific reference databases did not perform better than NHANES on which the FRAX model has been developed highlighting the need for reviewing fracture risk assessment strategies in the EMR.
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INTRODUCTION

The health burden of osteoporosis in developed countries has been acknowledged by health authorities including the World Health Organization (WHO) leading to a series of recommendations and guidelines on prevention, screening and management [1-8]. Demographic prospects in developing countries with increased life expectancy, high prevalence of sedentary lifestyle and smoking all lead to projections that the burden of osteoporosis will increase significantly in the near future [9].

The large variability in the incidence of non-vertebral fractures, and particularly hip fractures, is well recognized and may reflect the contribution of additional risk factors beyond bone mineral density, i.e. proximal femur anatomy, body mass index, daily living conditions and the numerous risk factors of falling [10-14]. Information regarding the epidemiology of non-vertebral osteoporotic fractures in the Eastern Mediterranean region (EMR) remains quite limited.

The WHO operational definition of osteoporosis that is based on a bone mineral density (BMD) T-score ≤ -2.5 using central Dual Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry (DXA) [1] has raised a debate in the literature regarding the appropriate reference database one should use, that is be it “population specific”, or “universal”, a question that has direct bearing with regard to case finding strategies and fracture risk assessment [15-20]. Although both the International Osteoporosis Foundation (IOF) and International Society for Densitometry (ISCD) recommend the use of a standard universal database, namely the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) database at the hip, this recommendation has not been implemented in many countries in the Eastern Mediterranean Region (EMR).

OBJECTIVES:

The aim of this paper is to report on the epidemiologic evidence relevant to the health burden of osteoporosis and the different reference DXA based datasets used for T-score determination in the EMR.

METHODS

A literature review was done through the PubMed electronic database from 1966 until September 2009. Keywords were selected from the MeSH thesaurus. The first query used the following MeSH terms “Hip fractures”[MeSH] AND “Middle-East”[MeSH] and identified 73 papers. The second query "Fractures, Compression”[MeSH] AND "Middle East”[MeSH] provided no results. The third query "Radius Fractures”[MeSH] AND "Middle East”[MeSH] identified 4 papers not relevant to our study purposes. The fourth query "osteoporosis”[Mesh] AND "Middle East”[MeSH] identified 186 papers. The fifth query "bone density”[Mesh] AND "Middle East”[MeSH] identified 137 papers.

All papers with a title and abstract relevant to the study were reviewed. Related references, with titles relevant to the study objectives, were also reviewed.

Demographic data prospects were obtained from the following website:
NDPOPULATION/ (9)

RESULTS

Incidence of osteoporotic fractures and basic characteristics

Six studies reported on hip fracture incidence and provided characteristics of subjects with such fractures. In five studies, hip fracture cases were identified from hospital admissions, and incidence rates estimated with reference to the population in the catchment’s area as the denominator, with extrapolation and adjustment for the general population at national level. One study was based on a hip fracture registry within a ministry of public health [27], Figure 1.

In Saudi Arabia, a retrospective review of case records of Saudi residents of Riyadh city, who were 40 years or older and who were admitted to any of the local acute-care hospitals over a period of 12 months was used to identify hip fracture cases. The estimated incidence of hip fracture over the age of 50 years was 100 per 100,000 person-years for females and 71 per 100,000 person-years for males [21].

In Kuwait, a prospective study was conducted at a specialized orthopedic hospital which provides services to residents in the three governorates representing about 70% of the total population of Kuwait. All new hip fracture patients who were operated on or treated conservatively during a 4-year period (1992-1995) were included. The age-standardized incidence rates of hip fractures were estimated at 295 per 100,000 for females [95% CI: 238.8-350.8] and 200 per 100,000 for males [95% CI: 163.3-236.5], using the 1985 US population as a reference [22].

In Lebanon, a prospective survey that included all hospitals with orthopedic surgery departments in the capital Beirut collected information on new hip fracture cases over a three-month period. An extrapolation was made for the estimation of the annual incidence for the population of Beirut and the Lebanese population at large. The estimated annual incidence rate of hip fractures in Lebanese subjects aged 30 and above was 129 per 100,000 person-years (women: 153 per 100,000 person-years and men: 100 per 100000 person-years) [23]. More recently, a population based study making use of the ministry of public health hip fracture registry evaluated the incidence of hip fractures in individuals age 50 and above for years 2006, 2007 and 2008. Crude incidence rates in those years varied between 164 and 188 per 100,000 for females and between 88 and 106 per 100,000 for males, with a female/male ratio of 1.6-2.1. The overall mean age (SD) for hip fractures was 75.9 (9.2), 76.8(9.0) and 77.0(9.9) years in females in 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively; and 74.4(11.6), 76.3(10.3) and 74.0(12.1) years in males. Using the US 2000 white population as a reference, the age-standardized rates for years 2006, 2007 and 2007 were 370.4, 335.1 and 329.0 in females, and 109.7, 134.1 and 128.7 in males; estimates that approximated those calculated for Southern European countries, such as Spain and Portugal, in the paper describing the study [27].

In Iran, a multicenter population-based prospective study on accidental injuries was conducted in nine provinces across the country, covering about 9.5 million individuals over a 4.5 months period. All patients aged ≥ 50 with radiographically confirmed proximal femur fractures were included. A total of 555 new cases of hip fracture (284 males, 271 females) were recorded,
leading to an estimated incidence of hip fractures of 115.2/100,000 person-years in men [95% CI: 107.2-123.7] and 115.6/100,000 person-years in women [95% CI: 107.4-124.3], [24].

In Oman, data was prospectively collected on hip fracture cases in Sur hospital and the age-adjusted incidence rate of hip fractures in Omani subjects above 40 years of age was estimated at 140 per 100,000 person-years [25].

In Morocco, register and medical records data was collected from the five public hospitals in the province of Rabat. Hip fracture was restricted to cervical or trochanteric types. The age-adjusted one-year cumulative incidence of hip fracture was 52.1/100,000 in women [95% CI: 40.9-63.3] and 43.7/100,000 in men [95% CI: 33.3-52.2], [26].

No studies on non-vertebral osteoporotic fractures, other than hip fractures, could be identified within the EMR.

**Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with osteoporotic hip fractures**

Few case-series and case-control studies have provided information on demographic characteristics of subjects with hip fractures in the EMR.

The mean age at hip fracture was quite similar across different case series and case-control studies in the EMR, with values between 70 and 79 years. In Saudi Arabia, a retrospective study of 43 subjects who sustained a proximal femoral fracture and were admitted to the orthopedic department of the King Fahd University Hospital in al-Khob city between January 2001 and December 2006, reported a mean age of 72.1 years [28]. A similar retrospective study from Al-Riyadh city, reported a mean age of 73 years [21]. In Turkey, a retrospective study of 107 female patients who experienced hip fractures after the age of 60 years revealed a mean age of 74 years, with a range from 63 to 100 years [29]. In the case-control study of 274 patients with hip fractures from Lebanon, the mean age for hip fracture subjects was 72.1 (8.5) years [30].

Gender ratio consistently showed predominance of females, as expected, across the various studies from Iran, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Oman, and Saudi Arabia. The female to male ratio among hip fracture cases above the age of 50 years was reported as 1.1 in Iran, 1.2 in Morocco 1.3 in Oman, 1.4 in Saudi Arabia and Jordan, and 1.5 in Kuwait and Lebanon [22-30].

The anatomic distribution of hip fractures was reported in three studies. In the study from Kuwait, the proportions were as follows: intertrochanteric fractures 59%, femoral neck fractures 34%, and subtrochanteric fractures 7%, with no gender difference [22]. In the study from Morocco, hip fracture data was restricted to cervical and trochanteric fractures. No significant difference was found between genders in terms of cervical to trochanteric ratio; it was 0.97 in women and 1.03 in men. In the Lebanese ministry of health registry study, the proportions for femoral neck fractures was 73.9%, 71.5%, and 78.8% for years 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively; 24.6%, 25.3%, and 18.9% for per-trochanteric fractures (both inter-trochanteric and trochanteric); and 1.4%, 3.2%, 2.2% for sub-trochanteric fractures [27].

Comorbidity in hip fractures was addressed in two studies, whereby up to 70% had two or more co-morbid medical conditions [30-31].

**Burden of osteoporotic fractures in the EMR**

Mortality as it related to osteoporotic hip fractures was documented in three case-series. The case-series from Turkey included 92 hip fracture patients (56 females, 36 males) who were
operated with a 36 months follow-up, and reported a 3-year mortality rate of 61% in females and 50% in males [32]. Another retrospective study from Saudi Arabia reported an average 2-year mortality rate of 27% [33]. In the case-control retrospective study from Lebanon, the average mortality rate was 47%; most deaths occurred within the first year post-operatively, and mortality was significantly higher in men compared to women [30].

The **global burden** of osteoporotic fractures in terms of disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) following fracture has been addressed in a single large population-based study in Iran, the Multicenter Study on Accidental Injuries [24]. Hip fractures generated 16,708 DALYs, comprising 8,812 (52.7%) years of life lost (YLL) and 7,896 (47.3%) years of life with disability (YLD). The authors estimated that Iran accounted for 0.85% of the global burden of hip fracture and 12.4% of that burden in the Middle East [34].

**Prevalence of osteoporosis using DXA with regard to reference datasets**

Eight cross-sectional studies reporting osteoporosis prevalence by DXA, among postmenopausal women and the elderly population, were identified. Osteoporosis prevalence was often estimated using the manufacturer’s reference curve, occasionally a population specific reference dataset, and in a single study the NHANES reference dataset.

In Iran, one cross-sectional survey collected data from 4188 individuals, 92% females, with a mean (SD) age of 53.4(11.8) years, referred to a community-based outpatient osteoporosis center in Tehran. Osteoporosis prevalence, using GELunar DPX-L (GE Lunar, Madison, WI, USA) database was 24.7% at spine, 12.4% at the hip, and 27.8% at any of the two sites [35]. Another cross-sectional survey, community based, included 2085 healthy Iranian subjects (75% women), aged 20-88 years, also using a GELunar DPX database, reported osteoporosis prevalence at any site among subjects aged 50 years and older to be 36.1% in women and 24.5% in men [36].

In Turkey, a multicenter study of postmenopausal women, residing in five big cities, in four different regions of Turkey, mean age (SD) 57.6 (9.6) years, reported 30% to be osteoporotic at any site using a MetriScan Densitometer database (Alara Inc., CA, USA) [37]. Another community based study, among the elderly, including 783 females and 464 males aged 65 years and above and using a Hologic QDR 4500A densitometer database (Hologic, Bedford, MA, USA), reported 63.5% of women and 45.9% of men as having osteoporosis at any site [38].

In Saudi Arabia, a cross-sectional survey of 830 postmenopausal women, 50-80 years of age, evaluated at King Khalid University Hospital, Riyadh, reported 39.5% to be osteoporotic at any site using GELunar DPX densitometer [39]. Another study using simulation approach estimated osteoporosis prevalence in women aged 50-70 years at around 23% [40].

In Jordan, a study of 400 women who visited outpatient clinics at two community hospitals in Amman City, with a mean (SD) age of 53 (12) years, reported 29.6% as osteoporotic at any site using a GELunar DPX densitometer [41].

In Lebanon, one study of a population-based random sample of elderly subjects aged 65 to 84 years, using the NHANES database, reported osteoporosis prevalence at total hip to be 33.0% [27.5-38.8] in women and 22.7% [16.2-30.2] in men [42].
Population specific datasets

Eleven studies [43-53] on premenopausal women and young adult populations reported BMD and T-score distribution by site, age and gender providing estimates of mean peak bone mass mainly at the spine and the hip. Eight provided relevant epidemiological information (see Figure 2 & Table 2).

Four of these studies [43, 48, 49, and 53] reported population-based reference datasets with a properly selected random sample quite representative of the general population, including young adults. The remaining studies [44, 45, 46, 47, 50, 51, and 52] reported no explicit sampling frame or no proper random selection.

Most studies determined the prevalence of osteoporosis, defined by BMD, in their populations using peak bone mass as defined by the manufacturer’s normative database and their population specific normative database. Only one study [42] compared the performance of using a population specific database versus an NHANES database to identify subjects with prevalent radiographic vertebral fractures.

In Iran, the Iranian Multicenter Osteoporosis Study included 5201 subjects aged from 20 to more than 70 years (2340 males, mean age 42.7±13.8 years) by random cluster sampling from civil status registries of five major cities. DXA was performed using a GELunar DPX densitometer and the NHANES reference curve for proximal femur, with phantom cross calibration between centers. Standardized peak bone mass values were comparable to reference values from Western countries and to reported references from other Eastern Mediterranean countries [43]. Another Iranian population-based cross-sectional survey was conducted in Teheran and included 553 subjects (34% men, 66% women) randomly selected from 50 blocks in the city. DXA was performed on also and using a GELunar DPX with the manufacturer’s database and reported similar findings [44], Table 2.

In Kuwait, 623 healthy Kuwaiti women, aged 20-79 years, with no explicit sampling frame, were evaluated using a GELunar DPX machine. Average peak bone mass at the spine was 1.238 ± 0.14 g/cm² and the hip was 1.022 ± 0.11 g/cm², slightly higher values than NHANES reference values, but the difference was not statistically significant [45], Table 2.

In Saudi Arabia, one study included 1,980 randomly selected subjects from 18 primary health care centers in Jeddah area (age range 20-79 years, 915 males and 1065 females) using a GELunar DPX machine. Average peak bone mass at total hip was estimated at 0.992 ± 0.17 g/cm² in females and 1.098 ± 0.19 g/cm² in males, values quite comparable to the NHANES values, Table 2. However, using manufacturer’s reference dataset, confidence interval for osteoporosis prevalence was 6.3-7.8% at the total hip compared to 1.2-4.7% when using the Saudi reference database [46]. Another study compared T-score distribution across four reference curves, two from Saudi Arabia, one from Lebanon and one from Kuwait among 1653 women referred for DXA using a GELunar DPX machine at the Security Forces Hospital, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Saudi reference curves were comparable, while on average Lebanese reference values were lower and Kuwaiti reference values were higher than both Saudi reference curves, however no testing for statistical significance of the difference was reported [47].
In Morocco, a population-based survey was carried out on 569 Moroccan women and 592 Moroccan men, aged between 20 and 79 years, randomly selected in the area of Rabat, using a cluster sampling frame [48-49]. DXA was performed with a GELunar Prodigy machine. Peak BMD at the total hip was 1.029 +/- 0.11 g/cm² in women and 1.161 +/- 0.16 g/cm² in men [49], Table 2. The use of the GELunar reference dataset classified 18.1% of men as osteoporotic at the spine compared to 7.4% using the Moroccan, and 7.8% using a Lebanese reference dataset. The proportion of men identified with osteoporosis at the hip were more comparable across databases, it was 6% with the Moroccan, 3.9% with the US and 5.3% with the European GELunar reference databases, [49].

In Turkey, one study among 323 healthy young adults (171 women, 152 men), aged 19-25 years, using Hologic QDR 4500A, reported T-scores distribution but no BMD values. Using the manufacturer’s reference dataset, average T-scores at the spine and proximal femur were significantly lower than zero in both genders. Using the local population reference dataset for T-score calculation, the prevalence of low BMD defined as a T-score < -1 was 14.0% at the lumbar spine and 14.6% at the femoral neck in women, and 15.8% at the lumbar spine and 17.1% at the femoral neck in men, proportions that were significantly lower than those derived using the manufacturer’s database, with corresponding numbers of 50.3% and 60.8% in women, and 42.8% and 30.9% in men [50]. Another study included 951 subjects (639 women and 312 men) aged from 15 to 79 years who had their BMD was measured at the calcaneus using a dual X-ray and laser Calscan (Demetech AB, Stockholm, Sweden) bone densitometer. Mean BMD value for healthy young adults (20-39 years old) was 0.411±0.058 g/cm² in women and 0.504±0.068 g/cm² in men. Values were on the average about 1 standard deviation lower in both genders, and across all ages-groups, as compared to those from the densitometer Swedish database [51].

In Lebanon, three studies were identified. The first study included 858 women and 165 men aged 20-79 years, with no explicit sample selection frame, and used GELunar DPX. Lebanese BMD values were generally slightly lower than the US and European reference values in younger age groups, with smaller differences in the older age groups [52], Table 2. The authors reported similar age-related changes in BMD in the Lebanese in comparison to both US and European reference databases. The second study reported BMD distribution in a randomly selected young adult population using a cluster sampling frame from Beirut and its suburbs. DXA was performed in three centers, one with a Hologic 4500W, one with Hologic 4500A and the third one with GELunar DPX densitometer. Cross calibration across centers was performed by having 45 women simultaneously tested at the three centers and a linear regression was applied to allow conversion of densitometry measurements from one machine to the other. BMD values were 1.01 ±0.11 g/cm² in women and 1.01 ±0.13 g/cm² in men at the lumbar spine, and 0.84 ± 0.10 g/cm² in women and 0.94 ± 0.15 g/cm² in men at the total hip, on the Hologic densitometer The corresponding values were 1.18±0.12 g/cm² in women and 1.18±0.14 g/cm² in men at the lumbar spine, and 0.97±0.11 g/cm² in women and 1.07±0.15 g/cm² in men at the total hip, on GELunar DPX [53]. Whether measured on GELunar or Hologic, the T-scores derived with reference to the NHANES database were significantly less than zero in women both the spine and the hip. The NHANES-derived total hip mean T-score (SD) was -0.8 (0.9) for women, and -0.7 (1.0) for men, both being significantly less than zero [53].

The third study included a randomly selected sample of elderly subjects from Beirut and its suburbs using the same sampling frame of the peak BMD study. DXA was performed in two
centers, one with Hologic 4500W machine and the one with Hologic 4500A, with cross calibration between both centers. In addition standard X-rays of the spine were performed, and vertebral fractures were assessed using the Genant semi-quantitative technique, thus providing the only published paper on the prevalence of radiographic vertebral fractures in the EMR, and allowing for an estimation of the relative risk of vertebral fracture in association with BMD loss. With reference to NHANES, the prevalence of osteoporosis by BMD at total hip was 33.0% [27.5-38.8] in women and 22.7% [16.2-30.2] in men. Excluding grade I fractures, the prevalence of vertebral fractures, was estimated at 19.9% [15.4-25.0] in women and 12.0% [7.3-18.3] in men. Compared to the NHANES, the population specific database was less sensitive to identify subjects with prevalent radiographic vertebral fractures. However, as expected, the relative risk of vertebral fracture per SD decrease (RR/SD) in BMD was similar across the two databases. In women, RR/SD was 1.61 [1.17-2.23] using the NHANES database and 1.49 [1.14-1.95] using the local database. In men, the figures were 1.59 [0.94-2.72] using NHANES and 1.43 [0.95-2.16] using the local dataset [42].

DISCUSSION

Similarly to other large geographic areas worldwide, the incidence rate of hip fractures varies across population studies in the EMR, although within a narrower range, estimates ranging from 100 to 295/100,000 person-years in women and 71 to 200/100,000 person-years in men, Figure 1.

Limitations regarding hip fracture estimates in the EMR include the small number of studies available, the frequent lack of clear inclusion criteria, the relative short time frame and retrospective nature of the studies, as well as the lack of large epidemiologic population-based cohorts, across the region. Despite these limitations, the currently available hip fracture incidence rates in the EMR were similar to those reported in other Southern European and Mediterranean countries, as reported in the Mediterranean Countries Osteoporosis Study (MEDOS) study and lower than reported rates in Northern Europe, North America and Australia [10, 11, 56-65]. Indeed, in the MEDOS study, hip fracture incidence rates in France varied between 100 and 250 per 100,000 person-years and were below 100 per 100,000 person-years in Southern European countries (56). In the European Prospective Osteoporosis Study (EPOS), hip fracture incidence rates were 130 [(95% CI: 80-170] per 100,000 person-years in women and 80 [95% CI: 40-100] per 100,000 person-years in men (64). The US Women’s Health Initiative (WHI) study reported an annualized incidence rate of hip fractures 160 per 100,000 person-years (65), and the Dubbo cohort, which included subjects aged 60 and above, incidence rates of hip fracture were 759 [95% CI: 647–871] per 100,000 person-years, in women and 329 [95% CI: 241–417] per 100,000 person-years in men (11).

Female to male gender ratio among subjects with hip fracture ranged between 1.1 and 1.5, a ratio that is somewhat lower than what has been reported in US and European population, possibly reflecting both regional epidemiological characteristics and different gender based life expectancies [9], Figure 3. Mean age at hip fracture was similar across all surveys in the area, ranging between 72 and 74 years, and was significantly lower than the mean reported age reported in developed countries, again reflecting the shorter life expectancy in the EMR, in both
genders. All reported age distributions of hip fracture incidence rates across the EMR show consistently the exponential increase beyond age 70, Figure 1.

In one study in Turkey authors addressed the issue of difference in incidence rates of hip fracture between rural and urban areas as they found higher rates in rural versus urban populations. Education as a social determinant was a significant confounding factor since difference between rural and urban rates was significantly reduced when adjusted for educational level. Higher energy fractures in rural areas was raised as a hypothetical explanation for their findings which were opposite to common observations of higher incidence rates of hip fracture in urban populations.

The prevalence of radiographic vertebral compression fractures above age 65 was estimated at around 20% in Lebanese women and 12% in Lebanese men by our group [42]. These figures are similar to those reported in European countries (13), providing some evidence on the burden of osteoporosis in EMR countries. No epidemiological data on non-hip, non-vertebral, osteoporotic fractures in the EMR could be identified.

The prevalence of osteoporosis based on BMD at any skeletal site among postmenopausal women and men above age 50 is quite comparable across EMR countries, and was close to 30% in several studies in women and close to 20% in 2/3 studies in men, based on manufacturer’s database [36-42], Table 1. However manufacturer’s reference values at the spine were overall significantly higher than spine BMD values in population-based datasets across the various studies in the EMR, and therefore osteoporosis prevalence could be overestimated or underestimated depending on the reference dataset used. Interestingly, population-based reference values at the hip were often closer to the NHANES reference values. Moreover, mean BMD values were quite comparable across populations and showed a similar decline of BMD with age, Figure 2. Finally, data relating BMD to radiographic vertebral fractures in a cohort of elderly subjects in Lebanon revealed similar estimates for the relative risk of vertebral fracture per SD decrease in BMD whether a population specific or the NHANES dataset were used.

No evidence was found to support using local or regional databases instead of the NHANES as a universal database. Based on the current evidence, we believe that along with the IOF and ISCD recommendations [17,19], the NHANES database would be the most appropriate database to be used in the EMR. This would help comparisons across populations in the EMR and between the EMR and other parts of the world. In addition, the use of the NHANES would ensure consistency in values obtained with those derived using the on-line fracture risk assessment calculator, FRAX, a calculator that is now available for 3 countries in the EMR region, namely Turkey, Lebanon and Jordan [55].

From a public health perspective, mortality figures for hip fracture in the EMR although limited are quite alarming. Mortality rates exceeded 25% at two years and reached 60% at three years, despite a relatively younger mean age of individuals with hip fractures in the EMR compared to developed countries [30-32-33]. Demographic prospects for the next decade suggest a significant increase in the proportion of subjects above age 65 in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region, Figure 3. The proportion of women above age 65 would rise by about 30%, from 4.8% in 2010 to 6.0% in 2020. Similarly for men the increase would be about 25%
from 4.0% to 4.9%. Such demographic changes would translate in 17% increase in the number of hip fractures over the next decade in both genders. Accordingly, the expected number of hip fractures among subjects above age 65 in the MENA region in 2020 would be around 300,000 in women and 250,000 in men, [9].

CONCLUSIONS

The health burden of osteoporosis in the EMR is quite significant. Hip fracture incidence ranged between 100 and 295 per 100,000 person-years across the EMR, in postmenopausal women, with no major differences between countries in the EMR, and approximating rates in Southern Europe. Female to male ratio among hip fracture subjects ranged between 1.1 and 2.4 and the mean age at the time of hip fracture ranged between 71 and 79 years. Mortality rates exceed 25% by two years following fracture.

Osteoporosis prevalence using DXA was quite similar across study populations in the EMR, around 30% at the lumbar spine when BMD is measured using the manufacturer’s reference database. T-score derived from population specific databases was often slightly lower than those obtained using wither the GELunar and or Hologic databases. Mean BMD were also comparable between countries and the age-related decrease in BMD was similar across all databases, in both genders and in all studies. Estimates of the relative risk of vertebral fracture per SD decrease in BMD in an elderly Lebanese cohort were similar whether the NHANES or a population specific database was used.

Overall, population specific datasets seemed no better than the NHANES dataset for fracture risk assessment using DXA, thus justifying the use of the universal NHANES database for osteoporosis assessment in the EMR, as is recommended in other regions worldwide. Furthermore, the FRAX calculator is based on the NHANES database, and is now available for Turkey, Lebanon and Jordan, thus allowing absolute fracture risk assessment and health policy decision making regarding osteoporosis treatment in several countries in the EMR.

Demographic prospects in the MENA region suggest the burden of osteoporosis will rise significantly by 2020, calling for cost-effective health policies to reduce the incidence of hip fractures and fracture-related mortality and morbidity.
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Figure 1: Hip fracture annual incidence rates (per 100,000) in women among Eastern Mediterranean Countries.
Figure 2: Mean total femur BMD (g/cm²) by 10-years age groups in both genders across various EMR countries. Data from studies using DPX-Lunar densitometers. The NHANES database values are as provided from Lunar manufacturer.

*Value verified as reported in the original article.

In the study of Salehi et al, the authors reported the lowest density value between total femur and the femoral neck region as femur BMD.
Figure 3: Life expectancy at birth in the Arab World, MENA, OCDE and USA

MENA: Middle East and North Africa
OCDE: Organization for Cooperation and Economic development (European Countries)
USA: United States of America
Table 1: Osteoporosis prevalence in various EMR populations
Osteoporosis is defined as a T-score ≤ -2.5 at any site (Spine, hip or forearm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Study Population</th>
<th>N, (% women)</th>
<th>Mean age (SD), Age range</th>
<th>% with OP by DXA any site</th>
<th>% with OP by DXA any site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[35]</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>NHANES*</td>
<td>Community-based osteoporosis center</td>
<td>N=4188 (91.9%)</td>
<td>Mean age: 53.4(11.8)</td>
<td>27.8 $^\Delta$</td>
<td>[26.4-29.2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[36 ]</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>N=2085 (75%)</td>
<td>50 years and above</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[37 ]</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>NHANES*</td>
<td>Multicenter study, five big cities</td>
<td>N=724 PM women</td>
<td>Mean age: 57.6 (9.6)</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[38 ]</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>NHANES*</td>
<td>Retrospective study,</td>
<td>N=1247 (62.8%)</td>
<td>65 years and above</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>45.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[39 ]</td>
<td>Saudi</td>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>King Khalid Hospital</td>
<td>N=830, PM women</td>
<td>50-80 years</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[40 ]</td>
<td>Saudi</td>
<td>NHANES*</td>
<td>Simulation approach</td>
<td>PM women</td>
<td>50-70 years</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[41 ]</td>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>Spanish Reference</td>
<td>Community based outpatient clinics</td>
<td>N= 400, PM women</td>
<td>Mean age: 53(12)</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[42 ]</td>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>Population-based random sample</td>
<td>N= 460, (65%)</td>
<td>65 years and above</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PM: postmenopausal.
* Presumed to be NHANES or manufacturer’s database in view of the high prevalence of osteoporosis.
$^\Delta$ This value is the frequency of osteoporosis diagnosis according to the site of assessment as an aggregate for both genders.
### Table 2: Mean peak BMD (± SD) at the lumbar spine, total hip and femoral neck (FN) in both genders in various EMR populations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>DXA reference dataset</th>
<th>Age Group (year)</th>
<th>Women’s peak BMD</th>
<th>Men’s peak BMD</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Lunar 7164, GE Madison, WI, USA</td>
<td>20-36</td>
<td>1.182 ± 0.127</td>
<td>1.006 ± 0.126</td>
<td>1.181 ± 0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Lunar DPX-MD machine</td>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>1.198 ± 0.132</td>
<td>0.962 ± 0.132</td>
<td>1.209 ± 0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Lunar DPX-IQ (Lunar, Madison)</td>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>1.238 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.022 ± 0.11</td>
<td>NA**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Lunar DPX-IQ (Lunar, Madison)</td>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>1.128 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.992 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.963 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>8,49</td>
<td>Lunar Prodigy Vision, GE</td>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>1.156 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.029 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.205 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Lunar DPX-L</td>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>1.100 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.912 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.139 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Lunar DPX-L</td>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>1.180 ±0.12</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The NHANES total hip BMD mean value (SD) among non-Hispanic whites is 1.101 g/cm² (0.144) in males and 1.008 g/cm² (0.126) in females within the age group 20-29 years; and 1.082 g/cm² (0.144) and 0.990 g/cm² (0.126) respectively within the age group 30-39 years.  
** NA: Not Available